CERTIFIED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Director (cm)
Case Management Officer
ATTN: Cdr Grawe
U. S. Coast Guard
National Pollution Funds Center
4200 Wilson Blvd.
Suite 1000
Arlington, Virginia 22203-1804

Subject: FPN N99064

Site Name: Valley Products Oil Spill

Site Location: Memphis, Shelby County, Tn

Dear Cdr Grawe:

Enclosed is the cost documentation package for the referenced federal project number. This package includes documentation to support EPA costs, START and cleanup costs, and other government agency costs, where applicable.

This package also contains documentation describing the spill and its removal, including POLREPs, OSC Logbook, and a narrative report of the incident. All EPA documents related to this removal are being packaged and will be sent to you under separate cover.

If you have any questions about the enclosed documentation, please contact the On-Scene Coordinator, Dean Ullock, at (404) 562-8757.

Sincerely, "

Shane Hitchcock Emergency Response Section

#### 4WD-ERRB

#### MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Reimbursable Account Cost Documentation Package

FROM: Shane Hitchcock, Chief

Emergency Response Section

TO: Natalie Koch

EPA Financial Management Center

Attached is the final cost documentation package for all EPA costs associated with FPN N99064. This documentation is submitted in accordance with the U. S. Coast Guard/EPA Interagency Agreement.

If you have any questions or require additional documentation, please contact the On-Scene Coordinator, Dean Ullock, at (404) 562-8757.

Sincerely,

Shane Hitchcock, Chief Emergency Response Section



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

**REGION 4** ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER 61 FORSYTH STREET ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8960

April 23, 1999

4PM-CB

**MEMORANDUM** 

SUBJECT: Reimbursable Account Cost Documentation Package

Render Formario FROM: Lon Connie Clumley, Chief

Financial Cost Recovery Section

TO:

Natalie Koch

EPA Financial Management Center

Attached is the cost documentation package for all EPA costs associated with FPN N99064, Valley Products Oil Spill. This package was reviewed by our office; please let me know

For this package, we have included copies of both IFMS and payroll transactions to support costs, travel vouchers, and time sheets. Our actions included the following:

- Reviewed financial documentation for payroll and travel.
- Adjusted payroll and travel.

Please note that the charges for overtime compensation included in personnel costs are estimated because these amounts were not available at the time of completion of this package. However, we have been informed that the employee's timecard was amended to include the overtime hours and has been been forwarded to the Washington Financial Management Center.

Thanks for your assistance.

ConnieC/28240/April 23, 1999//z4ad.wpd

## Valley Products Co., Oil Spill Memphis, TN

12/28/98-12/31/98

# 311 (b) CASE DOCUMENTATION PACKAGE

Prepared by OSC Dean A. Ullock 1/23/99

#### confidential

The following report contains information pertaining to the Valley Products Co., oil spill which occurred in Memphis, Tennessee on or about December 26th or 27th, 1998. The discharged was first discovered and reported to the National Response Center on December 28, 1998. The Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV, On-Scene Coordinator responded to the scene the afternoon of December 28<sup>th</sup>, 1998.

INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS FORM IS SUBJECT TO MINOR CHANGES. OFFICIAL NRC REPORTS ARE SENT TO VNTSC ON A DAILY BASIS.

- 1

National Response Center From:

USCG HQ Washington, D. C.

1-800-424-8802

To: MR STROUD

U. S. EPA IV

Incident Report # 468931

INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

\*Report taken by MST3 GAUTHIER at 11:23 on 28-DEC-98

Incident Type: FIXED Incident Cause: OTHER

Affected Area: DRAINAGE DITCH > NONCONNAH CREEK

The incident was discovered on 28-DEC-98 at 08:45 local time.

Affected Medium: WATER

REPORTING PARTY

Name:

JAMES BREAZEALE

Job Title:

PRESIDENT

Orqanization:

VALLEY PRODUCTS CO

Address:

384 E BROOKS RD MEMPHIS, TN 38109

VALLEY PRODUCTS CO called for the responsible party.

(901) 3989846

Type of Organization: PRIVATE ENTERPRISE

SUSPECTED RESPONSIBLE PARTY

Job Title:

Name:

JAMES BREAZEALE

PRESIDENT

Organization: Address:

VALLEY PRODUCTS CO

384 E BROOKS RD

MEMPHIS, TN 38109

Day Phone:

1901) 3989846

Type of Organization: PRIVATE ENTERPRISE

\$5000° FPN = N 99064

INCIDENT LOCATION County: SHELBY

384 E BROOKS RD MEMPHIS, TN 38109

> RELEASED MATERIAL(S) Official Material Name: OIL, FUEL: NO. 2

CHRIS Code: OTW Also Known As:

Oty Released: 4800 GAL(S)

Oty in Water: 4800 GAL(S)

SOURCE/CAUSE OF INCIDENT BOILER FUEL OIL TANK/VALVE FROZE AT BOTTOM OF TANK AND ALLOWED MATERIAL TO SPRAY OUT -/ LEAKED OUT OF CONTAINMENT THROUGH ANOTHER FROZEN VALVE

Injuries:

Fatalities:

DAMAGE Evacuations:

Damages:

Air Close:

Road Close:

REMEDIAL ACTIONS FD IS ON SCENE / MURPHY ENV-SERVICES EN ROUTE HEPA-CO

EPA:

STATE: Y CG: Y

NOTIFICATIONS BY CALLER OTHER: DESC: TN ENV GROUP / FD

CG Memphis

901/544-3941 x 228

Page 2

INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS FORM IS SUBJECT TO MINOR CHANGES. OFFICIAL NRC REPORTS ARE SENT TO VNTSC ON A DAILY BASIS.

From: National Response Center

USCG HO Washington, D.C. 1-800-424-8802

To: MR STROUD

U. S. EPA IV

Incident Report # 468931

INCIDENT DESCRIPTION

+Report taken by M5T3 GAUTHIER at 11:23 on 28-DEC-98

NOTIFICATIONS BY NRC

ATSOR MS ATTN: JOE SURKIN

28-DEC-88 00:00

(601) 5767497

EPA OFFICE OF EMERG RESPONSE (OERR)

U. S. EPA IV

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

GULF STRIKE TEAM

MSO MEMPHIS

NOAA 1ST CLASS BB RPTS FOR TN

58-DEC-88 00:00

(202)5266329

AR DEPT OF POLLUTION CONTROL 58-DEC-88 00:00

(501)8820798

DOI/DEPC - R6

58-DEC-88 00:00

(505) 7861059

MS EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

58-DEC-88 00:00

(601)3528314

TEMP: 47F / CLOUD COVER: OVERCAST / WIND: SLIGHT FROM N / AREA AFFECTED ADDITIONAL INFORMATION 20 FT X 30 FT ON PROPERTY / . 5 MILE TO 1 MILE DOWN DITCH TO CREEK

END OF REPORT # 468931

Report any problems or Fax number changes by calling 1-800-424-8802 PLEASE VISIT OUR WEB SITE AT http://www.nrc.uscg.mil



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 4 ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER 61 FORSYTH STREET ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8980

Date:

SUBJ: Notice of Federal Interest in a Pollution Incident

Dear Mr. Brenzenle:

This is to inform you that a pollution incident has occurred or threatens to occur at VALLEY Roducts Co. located at 384. E. Bresice, for which you may be financially responsible. Under federal statutes, the United States Government has an interest in this incident and may take appropriate action to minimize damages which are threatened or which may be caused by this incident.

The discharge of a harmful quantity of oil or a hazardous substance is a violation of the Clean Water Act, as amended. Under this act, the owner or operator of the source may undertake removal action. If he refuses to take adequate removal action, he may be held financially responsible for action taken by the Federal Government to remove the pollutant and adequately mitigate its effects. Removal is being done properly if it is performed in a manner consistent with the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan. If you undertake removal action, the adequacy of such action shall be determined by the federal On-Scene Coordinator. The On-Scene Coordinator for this incident is

DEAN A. ULLOUR

So long as you are taking adequate actions in this matter, federal activity will be limited to monitoring the progress of your actions and providing of guidance as necessary.

If it is determined that you are not taking prompt and appropriate action to clean up, contain, and remove the pollutant(s), federal response may be initiated. You may then be held responsible for costs incurred by the Federal Government as set forth in Section 311(f) of the Clean Water Act, as amended.

Should you require further information concerning this matter, please contact the On-Scene Coordinator by telephone at (404)562-8700 or in writing at:

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV 61 Forsyth Street, S.W.

Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Received and acknowledged:

Signature:

JAMES A. Breazen

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY INITIAL/FINAL POLREP

DATE:

January 13, 1999

SUBJECT:

Valley Products Oil Spill (Memphis, TN.)

FROM:

Dean A. Ullock, Federal On-Scene Coordinator

USEPA, Region 4, Emergency Response and Removal Branch,

**Emergency Response Section** 

TO:

D. Lair, USEPA, R4

S. Hitchcock, USEPA, R4

C. Monell, USEPA, R4

M.. Monsees, R4

K. Rasnick, USEPA, R4 M. Henderson, USEPA, R4 D. Thornton, USEPA, HQ

NPFC Case Officer USCG District 8 Project File

#### I. BACKGROUND

FPN#

N99064

Project Ceiling:

\$5,000

Lead Agency:

USEPA, Region 4, ERRB

61 Forsyth St. Atlanta, Georgia 30303

NPL:

N

Funding:

**OPA** 

Lead OSC:

Dean A. Ullock

Party Conducting Action: RP

#### II. SITUATION

Date of Notification:

12/28/98

Date Action Started:

12/28/98

Pollutant:

#2 Fuel Oil

Quantity Discharged:

4800 gallons

Substantial Threat:

Medium

#### II. SITUATION (cont.)

Surface Water:

NONCONNAH CREEK

Source Identification:

**AST** 

#### III. ACTIONS TAKEN

The Region 4, Telephone Duty OSC was notified through the National Response Center at approximately 1145 hrs. on 12/28/98. Approximately 4800 gallons of #2 fuel oil had been released from an above ground storage tank. The Valley Products Inc., plant manager reported that the drain valve located on the bottom. of the AST had frozen solid due to cold weather. When temperatures rose over the Christmas holiday weekend, the valve thawed-out and developed a crack and severe leak. The leak went unnoticed for several days. The fuel leaked into the properly designed containment only to flow out of the containment due to either a faulty drainage valve, or negligence in ensuring the valve was closed. Upon release from the containment, the fuel flowed directly into the adjacent storm water sewer and then deposited out into the unnamed tributary. This tributary stretches approximately one mile before reaching the Nonconnah Creek. The spill had gone undetected from approximately 12/26/98 until Monday morning 12/28/98. The fuel had easily reached the Nonconnah Creek and flowed approximately 2.5 to 3.0 miles towards McKellar lake, an oxbow lake associated with the Mississippi River.

Upon detecting the spill, the R.P. immediately procured a response contractor. The contractor deployed boom at the mouth of the Nonconnah River and McKellar lake and was successful in capturing fuel before it impacted the lake. Due to difficultly in logistics and accessing the spill from the bank, the contractor was unable to immediately commence oil recovery.

Upon arrival the evening of 12/28/98, the OSC met with the RP and contractor to discuss clean up activities for 12/29/98. At 0800 hrs., on 12/29/98, USCG/GST technical assistance was requested.

An agreement was reached between the EPA, the RP and his contractor as to the overall approach of recovery. Two crews would be necessary to effectively recover oil. One crew would be dedicated to the unnamed tributary at the top end of the spill. The other crew would commence recovery efforts on the Nonconnah River. After approximately 7 hours of ineffective performance from the RP's contractor, the FOSC approached the RP and discussed the possibility of Federal Assumption of the spill. The FOSC suggested to the RP that he immediately procure additional resources to augment ongoing recovery. He agreed.

Recovery efforts greatly improved with the added resources and organization. On 12/30/98, the EPA and GST thoroughly surveyed most of the navigable portion of river. Specific areas that needed further cleaning were identified. Those areas that were not navigable by boat were covered by foot. After this survey, the EPA OSC was able to delineate remaining recovery work to be completed. The top end of the spill in the unnamed ditch had been effectively recovered and cleaned. Efforts to recover fuel off the river were successful but slow. Two skimmers were deployed off the banks and positioned as effectively as possible to recover fuel flowing downstream into the diversion boom. Debris flowing downstream hampered effective recovery. The skimming operation continued until sunset. Due to poor light conditions the decision was made to recover remaining fuel with sorbents. This effort proved successful.

Polishing and additional sorbing of fuel continued on 12/31/98. A final "walk-through" was conducted by the EPA, OSC and the USCG,GST member on the morning of 12/321/98. Several very small areas needed further work. These areas were shown to the contractor and were addressed during the day.

Prior to departing the Memphis area, the EPA OSC conducted a debriefing with the RP. The RP was explained that his company was in violation for not having a site specific SPCC plan. The OSC prepared an SPCC checklist and asked for the RP's signature. The RP was instructed on how to proceed and that he should immediately commence with the development of a certified SPCC plan. The RP informed the OSC that he was going to have the entire facility reviewed for environmental compliance not only SPCC requirements. The EPA OSC and USCG-GST member departed the area on the afternoon of 12/31/98.

#### IV. FUTURE ACTIONS

The EPA will further investigate this spill for possible enforcement actions.

#### V. ESTIMATED COSTS

FPN Ceiling: \$5,000.00

VALLEY PRODUCTS CO. (merado) 0

**:**#:

(14) 8 W

1:30 hu 1080 ha where we are w/ So (901)544-394/2022 (b) USCO LT. TED CAMPIE

The Artist

looming > 10:00pm. Ops shat down. 1900 ho usis 126 recovery duciely using 2 per continues well site true and Summu moule 0 Woo annie. The Lay. Must wo | me Damo 2.40 Rughie 721 RISHUST G. erew activities 6.6 

Veter to ail what 275% I muy end (%) 100 0700 / 657/ FPM confut tous 1050 Musi 12/2 Same o to creat till reed upl and win 3



Commanding Officer Gulf Strike Team Aviation Training Center 8501 Tanner Williams Rd Mobile, AL 36608-9690 (334) 441-6601

## U.S. COAST GUARD **GULF STRIKE TEAM** TELEFAX TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET FAX (334) 441-6610

DATE: 25 JAN. 99	TIME: 1050
TO: DEAN ULLOCK FROM: TIM ADAMS	FRH#
NO. Pages (incl. cover):	
SUBJECT:	

290EC 98	
The second secon	
1120 00	
1139-DPI MOBILE	AIRPORT (BUDGET RENT)
EXIZOUTE MEMPI	MS IN.
1930- ARR. RAMADA	
	MOTEL DISCUSSED SPILL
will meeting	opper.
30 DEC	
\$63B-MET OSC	
Ø700 ON SCOVE AT	VALLEY PRODUCTS
Ø73Ø-05C WENT TO	SPILL, I WAIT FOR
FHI CONTRACT	RE
	MOVED TO DITCH
1930- MYSELF AND	DOSC OF MOUTURE
- CREEK DIRECTION	IG THE POSITIONING
OF THE POOM	CO ZAG ZOS ///ONINO
	NA CASCADE SUSTE
•	LOING IN DIFFERENT
LOCATIONS OF THE	CREEK BNO DITCH
IT APPEARS THAT	LITTLEIFANY CSCAF
INTO LAKE.	
1050- OIL 15 DRIF	TING DOWN THE
CREEK INTO	
3377 77470	JUNE BOWN DRUM

SKIMMERS (3)	PREINPLACE, WASK
20 TO NUMEROUS	PROBLEMS TO KEEP
SKIMMERS RUNNI	VG.
1600 OSC AND MYS	CLF TAKE JOY BOAT
- UP THE CREEK	FINO THAT EXCEPT
FOR A COUPLES	MALL POCKETS OF OIL
TRAPPED IN DEB	RI, MOST OF IT HAS
COME DOWN THE	REEK IN THE BOOM
1630- AFTER STRUGGL	NG ALL AFTERNOON
WITH SKIMMERS	CONTRACTORS ARE
DIRECTED TO PA	DOIL COK BEGINNING
10 CMUSIFY)	<u> </u>
1745- CIGHTS ARC SCT	UP OKED PADS
PRE BCING REC	WERED A CHEW OF
- LWAS SENT UP	THE CREEK TO
PAO POCKETS	CAPPEO IN OCBRI.
1820 DAT SITE	
24	
31 DEC	
0700-ARR. AT SOURCE	E OF SPILL, DITCH
C(CAN 70 05C	REQUIREMENT
ţ	

31000	
0830 ARR AT MOU	THOE CASEK SOME
- OK REMAINS	
1100 OSC AND MY	SOLF OPT SITE SOME
EMUSIFICA OIL	- REMAINS OSC DIRECTE
CONTRACTORS TO	RECOVER GERRAND
1200 DET RAMADA	
1730-ARR MOBILE	UN CUROUTE MORKE
•	



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### REGION 4

# ATLANTA GEORGI 1365

GI FORSYTH ST. N.E. ATLANTA, GA 30309

Case #: FfN N99064 Insp. Date : /2/28/98 Prev. Insp.: / / \_Long.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND RECORD OF SPCC INSPECTION/PLAN REVIEW

INSPECTOR TITLE
INSPECTOR, TITLE: (1) DEAN A. ULLECH, OSC.
FACILITY NAME: VALLEY PRODUCTS CO.  STREET: 384 E. BROCKS RD  CITY: MEMPHS COUNTY: SHELBY STATE: TO ZIP: 38109  THE EPHONE
FACILITY CONTACT, TITLE: JAMES PREAZEAGE STATE: NO ZIP: 38:09 TELEPHONE NUMBER: (901)396-9046
OWNER/OPERATOR: SAME AS ABOUG CITY:
TELEPHONE NUMBER: ( ) - STATE: ZIP:
Is this facility required to have a SPCC Plan?  Y N
During this inspection a copy of the facility's SPCC Plan was requested for in-house review by the SPCC Inspector.
A copy of the SPCC Plan was provided to the inspector.  If no, a copy of the SPCC Plan will be forwarded by certified mail, return receipt requested, within 14 days to SPCC Inspector (1) or Humberto Guzman at the address on this letterhead.
If the facility does not have an SPCC plan, the facility should submit a letter to the EPA, Region IV, requesting a time extension. The letter should also provide deadlines for the completion and implementation of the facility's SPCC Plan.
Any future questions should be addressed to the inspector(s), to Humberto
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:
I acknowledge that an SPCC inspection of this facility was conducted on the 28 day of December 1998. I also acknowledge that I received
(printed name):
Inspector's Signature: Denn A. Wille A. Breazenke (printed name): Denn A. Wille
[original to gaze =

[original to SPCC Inspector, copy to facility representative]

PA	RT A - FACILITY INFORMATION			pag
	, T ) T			
Туј	pe of Facility (check all applicable descriptions):			
	onshore commercial			•
	orr broduction			
	loading racks			
	vehicles/rail cars industrial pipelines (in-faci	(in-faci	lity)	
Тъ	P-Portnes (III-IaCl	(lity)		
	pe of oil:			
	crude gasolinetransformer			
	other:			
C1 o				
Rou	sest Water Body: te of entry:	Distance	•	
	te of entry:		• ————	
PAR	T B - SPCC PLAN REVIEW			
(a)	Dong family,	7F />=		
(b)		Y/N	Y N	N
(1)	Is the Plan certified per 40 CFR § 112.3?  Date Certified.	?		
	Date certified:  Name of Engineer			_
(c)	License Number:			
(d)				
ريي	Spill of more than 1000 gallons in past 12 months?  If yes, date of spill:			_
	If yes, date of spill:			
	If yes, did spill reach water?			
	The VMS. Wad Disse and the contract of			
	Two spills of harmful quantity in past 12 months?			
	If yes, dates of spills: / / / /	*******		
	If yes, did spill reach water?			
(e)		***************************************	•	
(5)	Amendment by Regional Administrator after a spill 1		-	
(£)	40 CFR § 112.4?	per	<del></del>	
(r) (g)	Implement (e) per 40 CFR § 112.4?			
97	Amendment after change in facility design per 40 CI § 112.5?			
(h)	A TITY 2	FK	····	***************************************
. 4.1./	Three Year Review per 40 CFR § 112.5?			
i)				
Τ)		<del></del>		***************************************
÷ 1	§ 112.5?			
j)	Amendments certified per 40 CFR § 112.5?	*		
OMM	ENTS:			

.

Above ground stor- T.WK:	agy : 보기 : 건기 (I)		PRODUCT:			•
Total # of tanks:		Total ca	anacity.			
Below ground store		*	PRODUCT:			
Total # of tanks:_			pacity:			
Oil storage capaci Oil storage capaci <i>Total</i> facility sto	tu undararan	ıd:		gallons gallons gallons	• •	
COMMENTS:						

# SPCC INSPECTION/PLAN REVIEW CHECKLIST TECHNICAL VIOLATIONS

The following information directly reflects the requirements of 40 CFP \$ 112.7(e) as applicable to the facility inspect. The ST Flanding procedure complete discussion of the following [applicable] and solid prevention, containment procedures, or State rules, regulate as guidelines (if more stringent). Information from this checklist should be transferred to the SPCC Inspection Report.

<ul> <li>I. Facility Drainage, Onshore (excluding production facilities):</li> <li>a. Is drainage from diked storage areas via valves?  If yes, are valves manually operated?</li> <li>b. Is drainage from diked areas via pumps or ejectors?  If yes, are they manually operated?</li> <li>c. Is Storm water inspected prior to discharge?</li> <li>d. Is drainage from undiked areas into catchment basins?</li> <li>e. If dikes or catchment basins are not utilized, is there a diversion system to return spills to the facility?</li> <li>f. Is drainage water treated at the facility?</li> <li>Inspector's comments on Facility Drainage, Onshore (excludacilities), based upon inspection:</li> </ul>			N	NA
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			·	
II. Bulk Storage Tanks, Onshore (excluding production facilities):  a. Are material and construction of tanks compatible with the oil stored and the conditions of storage? b. Do all tanks have secondary containment? c. Does secondary containment appear adequate? d. Are diked areas sufficiently impervious? e. Is drainage from diked areas to on-site treatment? If no, is bypass valve normally sealed closed? Are bypass valves opened and resealed properly? Are adequate records of dike drainage maintained? Are underground tanks located at this facility? If yes, are they protected from corrosion? If yes, are they pressure tested periodically? g. Are there partially buried tanks at this facility? If yes, are they protected from corrosion? h. Are aboveground tanks at this facility? If yes, are they subject to integrity testing? If yes, are records of inspections maintained? If yes, are records of inspections maintained? If yes, is steam return/exhaust monitored? Are external heating coils utilized?  J. Are Tanks "fail-safe" engineered?  Audible/Visual high liquid level alarm: Automatic high liquid level pump cutoff: System of determining liquid level in tanks, such as sensing devices: direct vision gauges: Are sensing devices/gauges regularly tested?	Y/N	Y	N	NA

<ul> <li>i. Are effluents that are discharged to navigable waters observed frequently to detect oil spills?</li> <li>j. Are causes of oil leaks resulting in accumulations of oil in diked areas promptly corrected?</li> <li>k. Are there mobile or portable tanks at this facility If yes, are they positioned properly?</li> <li>If yes, is secondary containment utilized?</li> <li>Inspector's comments on Bulk Storage Tanks, Onshore (excladation), based upon inspection:</li> </ul>				N NA
. Topoccion.		_		
III. Facility Transfer Operations, Pumping and In-Plant Processes, Onshore (excluding production for interpretable production)		Y	N	NА
a. Are buried pipelines production facilities):				, •
flanged, and marked as to their origin?				
				-
corrosion, and allow for expansion and contraction?				
e. Is periodic programmed inspected regularly?				<del></del>
e. Is periodic pressure testing conducted?  f. Is vehicle traffic warned of aboveground pipelines?				-
warned or aboveground pipelines?			-	
Processes, Onshore (excluding transfer Operations, Pump	ing ar	id Tr	1 - Dl ar	- <del>-</del>
Inspector's comments on Facility Transfer Operations, Pump Processes, Onshore (excluding production facilities), base	ing ar d upon	nd In	Plar	nt ion:
, base	ing and upon	d Ins	Plar pect:	nt ion:
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to see the	u upon	1 ins	pecti	lon:
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment basin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary	u upon	1 ins	pecti	lon:
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment basin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary containment used?  b. Are warning signs introduced.	u upon	1 ins	pecti	lon:
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment basin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary containment used?  b. Are warning signs, interlocked warning lights, or a	u upon	1 ins	pecti	lon:
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment basin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary containment used?  b. Are warning signs, interlocked warning lights, or a physical barrier system used to prevent vehicular	u upon	1 ins	pecti	lon:
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment basin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary containment used?  b. Are warning signs, interlocked warning lights, or a physical barrier system used to prevent vehicular departure before disconnection from transfer lines?  c. Is vehicle inspected before departing facility?	Y/N	Y	N	NA
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment basin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary containment used?  b. Are warning signs, interlocked warning lights, or a physical barrier system used to prevent vehicular departure before disconnection from transfer lines?  c. Is vehicle inspected before departing facility?	Y/N	Y	N	NA
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment basin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary containment used?  b. Are warning signs, interlocked warning lights, or a physical barrier system used to prevent vehicular	Y/N	Y	N	NA
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment basin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary containment used?  b. Are warning signs, interlocked warning lights, or a physical barrier system used to prevent vehicular departure before disconnection from transfer lines? c. Is vehicle inspected before departing facility? Inspector's comments on Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Lo Rack, Onshore, based upon inspection:	Y/N ading/	Y	N	NA
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment bisin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary containment used?  b. Are warning signs, interlocked warning lights, or a physical barrier system used to prevent vehicular departure before disconnection from transfer lines?  c. Is vehicle inspected before departing facility?  Inspector's comments on Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Lo Rack, Onshore, based upon inspection:	Y/N ading/	Y	N	NA
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment basin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary containment used?  b. Are warning signs, interlocked warning lights, or a physical barrier system used to prevent vehicular departure before disconnection from transfer lines? c. Is vehicle inspected before departing facility? Inspector's comments on Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Lo Rack, Onshore, based upon inspection:	Y/N ading/	Y	N	NA
IV. Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Loading/Unloading Rack, Onshore:  a Does rack drainage flow to catchment basin or treatment system?  If no, is secondary containment used?  b. Are warning signs, interlocked warning lights, or a physical barrier system used to prevent vehicular departure before disconnection from transfer lines? c. Is vehicle inspected before departing facility? Inspector's comments on Facility Tank Car and Tank Truck Lo Rack, Onshore, based upon inspection:	Y/N ading/	Y	N	NA

VII. Personnel Training and Spill Prevention Procedures:	Υ	N
a. Is there a designated person accountable for smill	***************************************	
D. Are spill prevention briefings held periodically?		
Inspector's comments on Personnel Training and Spill Preven based upon inspection:	tion Proce	dures,
FACILITY INSPECTION		
Aboveground Storage Tank and Appurtenances Inspection Checkl	ist:	
<ol> <li>Check tanks for leaks, specifically looking for:</li> <li>Drip marks and stains</li> </ol>	Y	n na
b. Discoloration of tank		
C. Puddles of stored C.	-	
a. Corresion		
e. Cracks		
f. Localized dead vegetation		<del></del>
2. Check foundations for:		
a. Cracks		
b. Settling		
c. Gaps between tank and foundation d. Puddles of stored management		
d. Puddles of stored materials  e. Discoloration		
e. Discoloration		
3. Check pines and a		
3. Check pipes and valves for:		
a. Droplets of stored materials b. Discoloration		
c. Corrosion		
d. Bowing of nine harman		
<ul> <li>d. Bowing of pipe between supports</li> <li>e. Presence of stored materials on valves</li> <li>f. Evidence of leakage attributes</li> </ul>		
f. Evidence of leakage at joints and seams g. Localized dead vegetation	<del></del>	
g. Localized dead vegetation	<del></del>	
nspector's comments on Abovernous as		
nspector's comments on Aboveground Storage Tank and Appurtenance.	unces har	ad
	, Dasi	eu upon

PART C - TYPES OF VOOCTONS (Chack chose to and

7	Pailure to prepare a written Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures (SPCC) Plan for the facility in accordance with the guidelines for plan preparation at 40 CFR § 112.7, as required by 40 CFR § 112.3(a);
	Failure to have a registered Professional Engineer certify that the SPCC Plan had been prepared in accordance with good engineering practices, as required by 40 CFR § 112.3(d);
	Failure to implement the SPCC Plan as required by 40 CFR § 112.3(a) in accordance with the guidelines for plan implementation at 40 CFR § 112.7;
	Failure to maintain the SPCC plan at the facility and have it made available for on-site review during normal working hours, as required by 40 CFR § 112.3(e);
-	Failure to submit information after a spill as required by 40 CFR \$ 112.4;
	Failure to amend the SPCC Plan after a spill as required by 40 CFR
***************************************	Failure to implement SPCC Plan amendment after a spill as required by 40 CFR § 112.4;
	Failure to amend the SPCC Plan after a change in facility design as required by $40 \text{ CFR } \$ 112.5(a);$
	Failure to review the SPCC clan every three (3) years as required by 40 CFR § 112.5(b);
	Failure to amend the SPCC Plan after review as required by 40 CFR § 112.5(b);
	Failure to have the SPCC Plan amendment certified and implemented as required by 40 CFR § 112.5(c);
Technical	l Recommendations (refer to SPCC checklist for examples):

1. Secondary Containment (dike or berm system)  Capacity appears adequate  Drainage mechanism manually operated  Sufficiently impervious to stored materials  Presence of stored material within dike or berm  Standing water within dike or berm  Debris within the dike or berm area  Erosion or corrosion of dike or berm  Secondary Containment (other systems such as moat, catch-basin, pond, etc.)  Capacity appears adequate  Drainage mechanism manually operated  Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water in secondary containment system  Debris in secondary containment system	Y	N	age NA
<ol> <li>Secondary Containment (dike or berm system)         Capacity appears adequate         Drainage mechanism manually operated         Sufficiently impervious to stored materials         d. Presence of stored material within dike or berm         e. Standing water within dike or berm         f. Debris within the dike or berm area         g. Erosion or corrosion of dike or berm</li> <li>Secondary Containment (other systems such as moat, catch-basin, pond, etc.)         a. Capacity appears adequate         b. Drainage mechanism manually operated         c. Presence of material within secondary containment         d. Standing water.</li> </ol>	Y	N	NA
Drainage mechanism manually operated C. Sufficiently impervious to stored materials d. Presence of stored material within dike or berm e. Standing water within dike or berm f. Debris within the dike or berm area g. Erosion or corrosion of dike or berm  2. Secondary Containment (other systems such as moat, catch-basin, pond, etc.) a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water.	Y	N	AA
Drainage mechanism manually operated  C. Sufficiently impervious to stored materials d. Presence of stored material within dike or berm e. Standing water within dike or berm f. Debris within the dike or berm area g. Erosion or corrosion of dike or berm  2. Secondary Containment (other systems such as moat, catch-basin, pond, etc.) a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water in the store in the store in the secondary containment			
2. Secondary Containment (other systems such as moat, a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of stored material within dike or berm f. Debris within the dike or berm area g. Erosion or corrosion of dike or berm catch-basin, pond, etc.) a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water in the stored material within secondary containment c.			
<ul> <li>d. Presence of stored materials within dike or berm</li> <li>e. Standing water within dike or berm</li> <li>f. Debris within the dike or berm area</li> <li>g. Erosion or corrosion of dike or berm</li> <li>secondary Containment (other systems such as moat, catch-basin, pond, etc.)</li> <li>a. Capacity appears adequate</li> <li>b. Drainage mechanism manually operated</li> <li>c. Presence of material within secondary containment</li> <li>d. Standing water</li> </ul>			
e. Standing water within dike or berm f. Debris within the dike or berm area g. Erosion or corrosion of dike or berm catch-basin, pond, etc.) a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water in			
f. Debris within the dike or berm area g. Erosion or corrosion of dike or berm  2. Secondary Containment (other systems such as moat, catch-basin, pond, etc.) a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water.			
f. Debris within the dike or berm area g. Erosion or corrosion of dike or berm 2. Secondary Containment (other systems such as moat, catch-basin, pond, etc.) a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water			
2. Secondary Containment (other systems such as moat, a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water in the secondary containment.			
<ol> <li>Secondary Containment (other systems such as moat, catch-basin, pond, etc.)</li> <li>Capacity appears adequate</li> <li>Drainage mechanism manually operated</li> <li>Presence of material within secondary containment</li> <li>Standing water in the system of the system</li></ol>	····	_	-
a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water	<del></del>		
a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water in			
a. Capacity appears adequate b. Drainage mechanism manually operated c. Presence of material within secondary containment d. Standing water in			
c. Presence of material within secondary containment			
d. Standing water all within secondary containment			
d. Standing water at within secondary containment			
e. Debris in secondary containment system  f. Erosion or corregions			
f. Erosion or secondary containment system			
f. Erosion or corrosion of secondary containment			
3. Secondary Contains			
<ol> <li>Secondary Containment (drainage system)</li> </ol>			
a. Drainage adequate to return spilled material to			,•
ractificy			
4 Sagondam a			
4. Secondary Containment (none or inadequate)			
a. Demonstration of impracticability  b. Contingency Plan demonstration			
C. Written commitment			
neral Comments:			
			······································



Commanding Officer Gulf Strike Team Aviation Training Center 8501 Tanner Williams Rd Mobile, AL 36608-9690 (334) 441-6601

# U.S. COAST GUARD GULF STRIKE TEAM TELEFAX TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET FAX (334) 441-6610

DATE: 8 JAN 99 TIME: 0850
FROM: TIM ADAMS
NO. Pages (Incl. cover): 2
SUBJECT: COST DOC / MCMPHIS TN.
REMARKS:

DEC 29, 30 AND 31, 1998

Department of Transportation			Pollutio	n incident i	Government		
U. S. Coast Gua	rd	•		ource Repo		- All handles and flow a tanded in hand and select and a second in	
CG-5136-EZ			1100	ource rope		Short Form	7.7
		064				(RCN-16451	-1)
FPN/CERCLA Number:		Day		_	Date	3100079	4
Parent Unit:	Gulf Strike	Teram		•	D	FALL WINE	<u></u>
	The state of the s			-	OSCALead Trust	CAN ULLOCA	
			PE	RSONNEL	GOOREE TIUS	ee oignature	
Name (Last, First)		Pay Grade	Duty	Hours	Standard Rate	Total	Office Use
ACAMS TIMOTH	180	E-10	RT	22	24	528.00	Oince Ode
					,		
					ļ		
				<del> </del>			
			TO	TAL COST FO	OR THIS DATE:		
				UIPMENT	OK THE DATE.		
Item Description	Rate Basis	# Units		Rate Charge	NonRate Chrg	Total	Office Use
RENTAL CAR	DAILY					121.02	Cinco Cas
GASOLINE						94.00	1
MOTEL/RAMADA	DAILI			-		113.86	
	-						
			Tr	TAL COST EC	OR THIS DATE:		
			URCHASE	S/EXPENDAR	LES		
Were any purchase orden			NO 🗆	if yes, how man			
If yes are th	ey attached?	YES C	NO [	If yes, how man			
14 D						If no complete info	rmation below
Item Description		Purchase C	order Numb	ber		Cost	Office Use
					•••		
			TO	TAL COST FO	R THIS DATE:		
••••			TRAV	EL ORDERS		·	
Were travel or			NO C	If yes how many			1
If yes, are copi Are the liquidated travel claim	es attached?		NO CI		below information		
Lie are inferenced asket cistu	s attached /	YES 🗆	NO [	If yes how many		9	
Name (Last, First)	Travel Orde	r No.		if no, submit wh		Fri Cont	
		2.8481	203110	65/	-	Est. Cost	Office Use
/			- ,.	1517		A.VO PROKT	P
* JOJAL (	DST	NCURK	E(1)_			881.88	
			COM	RACTORS	i Travei Cost:		
Are contractor services	s authorized fo	r this date?	YES D			W 8-4 4	
vame		P.O./CONT				if yes, list contractors hired.	A
			7,100				Office Use
			-				
	4/40	0.	THER AGE	NCIES INVOLV	VÉD		
Ware annual	(Fo	OSC or Le	nd Trustee U				1
Were agencies autho	-			if yee, list and at	tach copy of author	intention.	
		Agreement	IAMILIDAL				Office Use
		_		The second line of the second			

#### Edmiston, Carl

From:

D8.MSG

Sent:

Wednesday, December 30, 1998 7:48 AM

To:

M.MSG; M.MSG

Subject:

FW: FEDERAL PROJECT NOTIFICATION, P 301345Z DEC 98, (050969),

From: Sent:

Out to Seempe

To:

Wednesday, December 30, 1998 12:45:31 PM

D8 MSG

Subject:

FEDERAL PROJECT NOTIFICATION, P 301345Z DEC 98, (050969).

Auto forwarded by a Rule

M1 A1 CC DL DA DE NC M1\015307 A1\008734 CC\050969 DL\003381 DA\008754

> UNITED STATES COAST GUARD EIGHTH DISTRICT TELECOMMUNICATIONS CENTER NEW ORLEANS, LA

\*\*\* Action Office is responsible for all Action, \*\*\*
\*\*\* Re-addressing and Re-routing required by this \*\*
\*\*\* message. (COMDTINST M2000.3, Art 10.A.4) \*\*\*

COMMAND : CCGDEIGHT NEW ORLEANS LA

: MOR, A, M, CC, DL, DPA : N18466 INFO

SSIC

P 301345Z DEC 98 ZUI ASN-D08364000138 FM CCGDEIGHT NEW ORLEANS LA/MOR// TO ZEN/EPA RGN IV ATLANTA GA INFO COMCOGARD MLC LANT NORFOLK VA//FCP2// COMCOGARD NPFC WASHINGTON DC COGARD FINCEN CHESAPEAKE VAI/OGO//
COGARD NSFCC ELIZABETH CITY NC
COGARD GST MOBILE AL COGARD AST FORT DIX NJ BT UNCLAS //N16485// UNCLAS //N10400//
SUBJ: FEDERAL PROJECT NOTIFICATION
A. PHONCON USCG D8CC/EPA REGION 4 OSC, RECHTMAN (404)582-8706.
B. NPFC, TOPS FOR RESOURCE DOCUMENTATION
1. AS REQUESTED IN REFERENCE (A), FPN N99084 IS ISSUED TO CLEAN UP 4,800 GALLONS OF CRUDE OIL.
2. DATE CASE OPENED: 28 DEC 98
3. A COST CEILING OF SEK IS ESTABLISHED. 3. A COST CEILING OF \$5K IS ESTABLISHED
4. LOCATION OF DISCHARGE/BODY OF WATER: MEMPHIS, TN.
5. SUSPECT SOURCE: VALLEY PRODUCTS 6. MSIS CASE NUMBER: TBA 7. OPFAC OF OSC IS 08-71108 8. ACCOUNTING DATA: 2/H/SZ/108/95/0/N99064/71108/2523 9. CONTRACTOR: HEPACO 10. POLREP A. ON ALL POLREPS FOR CASES WHERE THE OIL FUND HAS BEEN OPENED PORT MUST INCLUDE COMCOGARD NPFC, COGARD FINCEN (OGQ), COGARD NSFCC, AND COMCOGARD M C LANT (FCP2) AS NFO ADDRESSEES B. INCLUDE DAILY COST DATA IN POLREPS. NNNN

Author: DUTYOSC1 RRC at REGION4

12/29/98 9:19 AM

Priority: Normal

TO: Mail List - #ERNS REPORTS, ELAINE DAVIES at IN, EPAHQ EOC at IN, ART JOHNSON at IN, JOSEPH LAFORNARA at IN, STEPHEN LUFTIG at IN, MARK MJONESS at IN, ROYAL NADEAU at IN, KEN STROECH at IN, DAN THORNTON at IN, Karen Buerki, Charlie Fitzsimmons, Francis Garcia, Tony Moore, John Nolen, DUTYOSC1 RRC, Steve Spurlin, Terry Stilman, Fred Stroud, Matt Taylor, Michael Taylor, Dean Ullock, Ted Walden, bert\_langley@mail.dnr.state.ga.us at IN, bob\_rogers@deq.state.ms.us at IN, burger\_benrdep.nr.state.ky.us at IN, drreadem.state.al.us at IN, ert-frcc@columb34.dhec.state.sc.us at IN, eve.rainey@dca.state.fl.us at IN, fgrubbs@mail.state.tn.us at IN, ghogue@ios.doi.gov at IN, jhughes@mail.state.tn.us at IN, jlee1@bellsouth.net at IN, linda\_forehand@h2o.enr.state.nc.us at IN, lorlando@kydes.dma.state.ky.us at IN, lthompson@gema.state.ga.us at IN, maher@mema.state.ms.us at IN, rberman@ncem.org at IN, white\_d@epic6.dep.state.fl.us at IN Subject: ERNS Report

ERNS SUMMARY

December 28-29, 1998

STATE	OIL	HAZ	OTHER	TOTAL
AL FL GA KY MS NC SC TN	2 0 1 2 1 1 0 2	0 1 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0	3 1 1 2 0 0 1 3
TOTALS	9	3	1	13

#### RESPONSE STATUS

R-1-Dean Ullock(out)

R-2-Tony Moore (in)

TEL-Fred Stroud

OSC Ullock has responded to a spill in Memphis, Tn. Approximately 4800 gallons of #2 fuel was spilled from a tank at Valley Products. The spill occured over the weekend when the company experienced a double frozen valve "incident"! Initially, the valve on the bottom of the froze and then the valve on the "containment area" froze and when the temperature went above freezing the product was released to a small creek that is a tributary to Nonconnah Creek. The company discovered the spill Monday morning and have hired HEPACO to conduct the cleanup. The State of Tn was on scene yesterday. The facility has NO SPCC Plan, even though they have been in business for 45 years! NRC Incident Report#468931.



#### Rick Spicer

Senior Emergency Response Manager

Regional Office 2275 Airport Interchange Ave. Memphis, TN 38132 Tel: 901-345-6333

P.O. Box 26308 Charlotte, NC 28221 Tel: 704-598-9782 Fax: 704-598-7823

Support Services

24 Hour Emergency Fax: 901-345-1555 800-888-7689



James A. Breazeale CHAIRMANICEO

901-396-9648

34 E. Brooks Rd. • Memphis, TN 38109-2931 • Fax 901-396-4626

#### E CAMPBELL

ce:

901-423-5725 1-800-372-3928

ce Mail:

901-423-6483 901-537-5324



## elby County Wildlife Officer NNESSEE WILDLIFE RESOURCES AGENCY

) Lowell Thomas Drive kson, Tn 38301

TENNESSEE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION DIVISION OF WATER POLLUTION CONTROL



#### **EDDY K BOUZEID**

(901) 368-7964
REGIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL ASSISTANCE CENTER 2510 MT. MORIAH ROAD, SUITE E-645 MEMPHIS, TN 38115

901) 368-7939

1-888-691-8332

FAX: (901) 368-7979



Thomas E. Wood VICE PRESIDENT, TECHNICAL SERVICES

901-396-9646 • 800-322-7627

384 E. Brooks Rd. • Memphis, TN 38109-2931 • Fax 901-396-4626



#### VALLEY PRODUCTS CO.

Donnie Benjamin OPERATIONS MANAGER

901-396-9646 • 1-800-322-7627

384 E. Brooks Rd. • Memphis, TN 38109-2931 • Fax 901-396-4626



#### LEGEND

State Route

Geo Feature

Interstate, Turnpike

**US Highway Population Center** 

Street, Road

Hwy Ramp

Major Street/Road Street, Road

Scale 1:31,250 (at center)

2000 Feet

Interstate Highway

■ US Highway

+++ Railroad

\_\_\_\_ River

1000 Meters

Mag 14.00 Mon Dec 28 12:04:29 1998

### PROJECT COST SUMMARY SHEET

**海 2** 〒1999

FPN: N99064 INCIDENT NAME: VALLEY PRODUCTS CO. OIL SPILL

EPA REIMBURSABLE ACCOUNT NUMBER: 99 HR OYR OXAD 502030 2440

EPA Personnel Costs

EPA Travel Costs

Other EPA Direct Costs

EPA Contractor Costs

USCG Strike Team Costs

**TOTALS** 

Other Government Agency Costs

1,748.87 1957 02

881. 39

#### OSC's STATEMENT

This package presents a summary of and supporting documentation for removal costs incurred on the oil pollution incident noted above. To the best of my knowledge all costs are final unless noted in the package.

Signature:

Printed Name:

On-Scene Coordinator

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For purposes of this document, reimbursable means all costs which are reimbursable to EPA under the EPA/USCG IAG.

## **EPA PERSONNEL COSTS**

FPN: N99064 INCIDENT NAME: VALLEY PRODUCTS CO. OIL SPILL

NAME  A. ULLOCK	FIXED ACCOUNT NUMBER (FAN)	GRADE or HRLY RATE	REGULAR HOURS	OVERTIME HOURS	REIMBURSABLE COSTS  L/435 = 1,226.58	NON- REIMBURSABLE COSTS
99 T 04A	50(42.)			SUBTOTA L	#1435-28	

99 T OYP 50/02 D 8400 BNOO

TOTAL EPA PERSONNEL COSTS: \$ 1435 \*\*

#### ATTACHMENTS:

X Time sheets (NOTE: Time sheets and payroll reports must be redacted to remove information protected by the Privacy Act, including Social Security Numbers, etc.)

\_\_\_\_ MARS Payroll Report

## EPA PERSONNEL TRAVEL COSTS

FPN: N99064 INCIDENT NAME: VALLEY PRODUCTS CO. OIL SPILL

NAME	TA NUMBER	PERIOD OF TRAVEL	VOUCHER AMOUNT
DEAN A. Unoux	0-238	12/28/98 - 12/31/98	\$572 07

EPA PERSONNEL TRAVEL COSTS TOTAL: \$ 522 = 7

#### ATTACHMENTS:

- X Travel Authorizations
- Travel Vouchers with copies of receipts
  (Note: TA's and Vouchers must be redacted to remove information protected by the Privacy Act, including Social Security Numbers, Home Addresses, etc.)

## OTHER EPA DIRECT COSTS

FPN: N99064 INCIDENT NAME: VALLEY PRODUCTS CO. OIL 5 PILL

DESCRIPTION	UNIT (HOURS, DAYS)	RATE	COST
N	ONE		
			** 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

TOTAL OTHER EPA COSTS: \$ \quad \quad \quad

## TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE CONTRACTOR COSTS (START, REAC, etc.)

FPN: <u>N99064</u>	INCIDENT NAME: VALLEY PRODUCTS Co. OIL SPIC	۷
Contractor Name:		

TDD #	LABOR COSTS	TRAVEL DIRECT COSTS	ODCs	TOTAL
	1	18		
	7007	V		

TOTAL COSTS: \$ _ Ø
This is is not a final contractor cost total for this site.
ATTACHMENTS:
Technical Direction Document and Amendments
Acknowledgment of Completion (AOC)
Contractor Invoices
Contractor Cost Documentation Report

## CLEANUP CONTRACTOR COSTS (ERRS, BOA)

FPN: N99064 INCIDENT NAME: VALLEY PRODUCTS CO. OIL 5/14
NONE
Contractor Name
Contract Number
TOTAL CLEANUP CONTRACTOR COSTS
ATTACHMENTS:
Authorization to Proceed
Daily Cost Summaries
Certified Contractor Invoices

## OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCY COSTS

FPN: N99064 INCIDENT NAME: VALLEY RODUCTS CO. OK
Agency Name Activity Description Reimbursable Costs Non-Reimbursable Costs
2G GULF STRIKE TEAM  TOTAL OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCY COSTS: \$_\$ 881.88
ATTACHMENTS:
X Interagency Agreement (IAG)
Pollution Removal Funding Authorization (PRFA)
Government Agency Cost Summary

DEC 29, 30 AND 31, 1998

[1]	Department of Transportation Pollution Incident Daily Govern		Governmen	it			
U. S. Coast Gua			Res	ource Repo	Report Short Form		
CG-5136-EZ		<u></u>				(RCN-16451	-1)
FPN/CERCLA Number:	99	064		_	Date	31 DEC 99	
Parent Unit:	Gulf Strike	Team		•	0	CAN ULLOCK	-
			- 45	_	OSCALead Trust	ee Signature	
Name (Last, First)		Pay Grade		Hours	Standard Bat		
ACAMS TIMOTH	180	6-10	RT	22	Standard Rate	Total 527.00	Office Use
						321.00	_
							-
			TO	TAL COST F	OR THIS DATE:		
				UIPMENT	OK THE DATE.		<del></del>
item Description	Rate Basis	# Units	Rate/Unit	Rate Charge	NonRate Chrg	Total	Office Use
RENTAL CAR	DAIL					121.02	
GASOLINE	024					94.00	
HOTEL/RAMADA	COUL			<del> </del>		113.86	
					·		-
			TC	TAL COST FO	OR THIS DATE:		
Were any purchase order				SEXPENDA			
	ey attached?		NO II	If yes, how may			
Item Description		Purchase C	Order Numb			If no complete info	rmation below
		- uremade C	yidel Hanile	noi		Cost	Office Use
							<del> </del>
	· ·						
			70	TAL COOT FO	OR THE DATE.		
			TRAV	EL ORDERS	OR THIS DATE:		
Were travel or	ders issued?	YES D	NO II	If yes how man	v?		
If yes, are copi		YES 🗆	NO a		below information		
Are the figuidated travel claim	s statched?	YES []	NO []	if yes how many		4	
Name (Last, First)	T- 10 1			if no, submit wh			
Hame (Last, First)	Travel Orde	2.8481	2000	Issued By		Est. Cost	Office Use
,	///	20700	XX10	657		75.00 KROKT	
* JOJAL O	OST /	NCURK	E T			881.88	
ή , , , ,						447.00	
			COM	RACTORS	al Travel Cost:		
Are contractor services	s authorized fo	or thin date?	YES C			If yes, list contractors hired.	
Name		P.O./CONT				il year use contractors nired.	Office Use
·							Time Cad
			TUES TO				
	(Fe	or OSC or Le	HER AGE	NCIES INVOL	VED		
Were agencies author	rized to ect?	YES C			ttach copy of author	ortzetion.	
Vame		Agreement				A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE OWNER.	Office Use